

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION 1	NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/965,534 09		09/25/2001	Katsushi Nagaba	81790.0219	3774
26021	7590	03/11/2005		EXAMINER	
		TSON L.L.P.	ABRAHAM, FETSUM		
500 S. GRAND AVENUE SUITE 1900				ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER	
LOS ANGELES, CA 90071-2611				2826	
				DATE MAILED: 03/11/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
	09/965,534	NAGABA ET AL.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Fetsum Abraham	2826					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply							
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL' THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1: after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period v - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timy within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from , cause the application to become ABANDONE	ely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. O (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status	•						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>02 December 2004</u> .							
<u> </u>							
3) Since this application is in condition for allowar	·						
Disposition of Claims							
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-10 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-10 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.							
Application Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document: 2. Certified copies of the priority document: 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Application rity documents have been receive u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No d in this National Stage					
Attachment(s)							
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)							
 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 	Paper No(s)/Mail Da						

Art Unit: 2826

Final rejection

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Stevens (6,173,345).

The previous rejection mailed to the applicant on 8/27/04 stands valid in this action. However, some details are also included here to clarify the overall stand of the rejection. Clearly, the register circuit in figure 2 of the patent is described in the past action. Foe reference, the circuit involves a control clock dictated data transmission and reception having a delay register circuit (249) receiving data from circuit (248), the delayed signal then transferred to another variable delay block (244) that further adjusts the delayed signals and driver circuits such as the block (252) that are designed to drive the memory module (260) receiving the overall adjusted delay signals from the overall delay circuit block (250) in forward signal path configuration. Please note that a slight change has been made in defining the "driving circuit" from the past action, which indicates circuit (242) as being the driver in relation to the delay elements inside the circuit block (250). Although this reasoning was not in any form challenged by applicant, the slight change in this action considers a broader view of the claim language that does not specifically relate the "driver" to any specified driven circuit.

Art Unit: 2826

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Examiner's answer to applicant's argument

The description above being the basic correlation to the claimed invention, the amendment has changed the expression "data pattern" to "logical combinations" and the change presented as the strongest point of argument against the patent. However, the patent clarifies the data contents of the serial controller (224) which is similar to the circuit (248) that feeds the signals going into the delay circuit (244) could either be serial or parallel data (see column 4,50,60). And it is clear that a parallel data has a logical combination proportional to (2**(number of data input lines)) as well described by the applicant's response. Therefore, the overall delay circuits (249 and 244) naturally and by default depend on combinations that depend on the number of input lines at the output stage of the controller (248).

Art Unit: 2826

In light of the above reasoning, the claims are not patentable in view of the patent.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Fetsum Abraham whose telephone number is: 571-272-1911. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:00 - 18:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Nathan J Flynn can be reached on 571-272-1915.

braham